

UNITED STATE. JEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 08/362,547 01/03/95 WIEDERMANN MO-4188/LEA-EXAMINER 12M1Z0531 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT MILES INC PATENT DEPARTMENT MORAY ROAD PITTSBURGH PA 15205-9741 1207 DATE MAILED: 05/31/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on _____ This action is made final. This application has been examined _ month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474... Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims ___ are pending in the application. ___ are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claims 2. Claims 3. Claims are allowed. 1-8 4. Claims 5. Claims _ are objected to. _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are □ acceptable; □ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _______. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ____ 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🕒 been received 📋 not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. ___ ; filed on __ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

-2-

Serial Number: 08-362,547

Art Unit: 1207

The claims are objected to, in that it is asked that the phrase "known per se" be deleted from the claims.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants' claims are confusing as it can not be determined what type of "index range" values are being claimed in claim 1. There are numerous indices known to the art, and applicants' need to define theirs.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Serial Number: 08-362,547

Art Unit: 1207

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Volkert ('933) and Volkert et al. ('956) and ('534).

Patentees' disclose processes for the production of rigid foams containing isocyanurate linkages a prepared by mixing and reacting polyisocyanates, various 2+ hydroxyl group containing high molecular weight polyols, blowing agents, flameproofing agents, and chain extenders/crosslinkers (see the entire Applicants provide no limiting definition to their branching condition, and it is held that the disclosure of the numerous polyfunctional polyols inherently teaches the condition of branching as defined by applicants' claims. It has been held that where applicant claims a composition in terms of function, property or characteristic where said function is not explicitly shown by the reference and where the examiner has explained why the function, property or characteristic is considered inherent in the prior art, it is appropriate for the examiner to make a rejection under both the applicable section of 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 such that the burden is placed upon the applicant to provide clear evidence that the respective compositions do in fact differ. In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977); In re Fitzgerald et al, 205 USPQ 594. Further, patentees set forth various mixing combinations for their additive agents/reagents.

Correspondence

Any inquiry regarding this or any communication from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney, whose telephone number is (703)-308-2433. The Examiner is the person to contact to discuss the issues of this application and can be reached Monday - Friday, 8AM-6PM. The supervisor can be contacted through the receptionist, and general status questions should be referred to the same receptionist at (703) 308-1235. The FAX phone number for the this ART UNIT is (703)-305-5432. Formal and Informal Amendments by FAX are encouraged but should be clearly labelled.

Cooney 5-25-95

EVERTER STATE OF THE STATE OF T